



OPEN ACCESS

Global landscape of nicotine and tobacco products additives policies

Andre Luiz Oliveira da Silva ¹, Stella Bialous ²

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<https://doi.org/10.1136/tc-2024-058622>).

¹DIRE3/GGTAB/CCTAB, Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (Anvisa), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

²School of Nursing and Center for Tobacco Control Research and education, UCSF, San Francisco, California, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Andre Luiz Oliveira da Silva;
andre.sp.ensp@gmail.com

Received 26 January 2024
Accepted 13 September 2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction Product design is a key tobacco industry strategy to recruit consumers and hinder cessation. An important technology in tobacco product design is the use of additives including those that create characterising flavours, that is, flavours that are perceived by taste and or smell by the user. To curb youth use, many countries are implementing policies to limit the use of flavours and other additives in tobacco products. This paper reviews the global landscape of tobacco additives policies including those focusing only on flavouring additives, updating a previous review.

Methods We gathered additives policy data from available online sources of tobacco control policies including parties' reports to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (where WHO refers to World Health Organization), the website Tobacco Control Laws, government websites, searches with the Google search engine and consultations with the WHO to ensure comprehensiveness of the assessment. The policies were classified according to their objective and characteristics: Labelling regulations, sales bans and tobacco product design regulations. We classified and organised the regulations according to their stated intent.

Results We found that 62 countries had tobacco products' additives-related regulations at the regional, national or subnational levels. Most of these regulations focused on flavouring additives especially bans on the selling, importing and manufacturing tobacco products with characterising flavours.

Conclusions The data demonstrate various global policies addressing additives and/or flavours and a range of definitions of additives and flavours. Establishing common definitions and standards could facilitate cooperation between countries and regions.

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death and disease in the world,¹ responsible for 8 million deaths every year.² Efforts to address this global epidemic must include strategies to prevent young people from starting to use tobacco and becoming addicted to nicotine. Estimates indicate that, globally, 82 000 to 99 000 children start smoking cigarettes every day.^{3–7} The tobacco industry denies marketing its product to young people,⁸ however, the importance of young people as a market for the tobacco industry is well known.^{9 10}

Product design is a key tobacco industry strategy to recruit consumers and hinder cessation. Today's cigarettes are the result of innumerable technological developments where all aspects of their composition are engineered to optimise the delivery of nicotine to the user, to facilitate the initiation by

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- ⇒ Product design is a key tobacco industry strategy to recruit consumers and hinder cessation.
- ⇒ Flavoured tobacco products are particularly appealing to youth and lead to experimentation and initiation.
- ⇒ Emerging data demonstrate that bans or restrictions on flavoured tobacco products including menthol, decrease youth initiation and support cessation.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ Updates the global mapping of regulatory policies of additives in nicotine/tobacco products.
- ⇒ Proposes a structure to classify and organise various policies addressing additives in tobacco products.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ Provides a roadmap to countries interested in regulating additives in tobacco/nicotine products.
- ⇒ Discuss the challenges to implementing additive regulations in nicotine/tobacco products.

new users, to mask secondhand tobacco smoke and to reduce production costs.^{11–15} In tobacco product design, an important technology is the use of flavourings^{15–18} including those that create characterising flavours, that is, flavours that are perceived by taste and or smell by the user (eg, menthol or fruit-flavoured cigarettes, candy flavoured vapes). Flavourings are defined by Codex Alimentarius as 'products that are added to food (or tobacco in this case) to impart, modify or enhance the flavour'¹⁹ and characterising flavour is a 'clearly noticeable smell or taste other than one of tobacco' resulting from a flavouring additive or combination of them.²⁰

Recognising that flavour is a barrier to tobacco control, the parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) recommended, in the partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10,²¹ the ban of ingredients used to increase palatability, that have colouring properties, ingredients used to create the impression that products have health benefits and ingredients associated with energy and vitality.

Data show that flavoured tobacco products are particularly appealing to youth and lead to experimentation and initiation.^{1 10 22–24} To curb youth use,



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Oliveira da Silva AL, Bialous S. *Tob Control* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/tc-2024-058622

countries are implementing policies to limit the use of flavours and other additives in tobacco products. Emerging data demonstrate that bans or restrictions on flavoured tobacco products including menthol, decrease youth initiation and support cessation.^{25–31} These policies have maximum public health benefits when they are comprehensive, that is, include all tobacco products and all flavours.^{25 28 29 32–38}

This paper reviews the landscape of nicotine and tobacco products' additives policies globally including those that focus only on flavouring additives, updating a previous review.³⁹ Identifying and classifying policies on additives in nicotine and tobacco products is important to provide legal and technical subsidies for countries that want to update or implement these policies. Additionally, knowledge of the global landscape could support the implementation of Articles 9 and 10 of the FCTC and seek standardisation of definitions.

METHODS

From August 2021 to July 2024, we gathered nicotine and tobacco products additives policy data (whether or not it had entered into force) from available online sources of tobacco control policies including parties' reports to the FCTC,⁴⁰ the database Tobacco Control Laws (<https://www.tobaccocontrol-laws.org/>), government websites, searches with Google search engine and consultations with the WHO and its internal reports to ensure comprehensiveness of the assessment. The searches were carried out using the list of WHO member states (<https://www.who.int/countries>). Documents in languages different from English, Spanish and Portuguese were translated using Google translator. Countries with internet restrictions were accessed using a Virtual Private Network (VPN).

Each policy related to additives was downloaded and information was extracted into a spreadsheet. The policies were classified into three categories based on the nature and scope of each policy as well as their stated intent and characteristics: Packaging and labelling regulations, sales bans, tobacco product design regulations.

RESULTS

We found that 62 countries had nicotine and tobacco product additives-related regulations at the regional, national or subnational levels (online supplemental file 1). The majority of these regulations focused on flavouring additives, especially bans on sales, import and manufacture of tobacco products with characterising flavours (table 1).

Europe was the WHO region with the most countries (n=37/44) regulating additives and/or flavours. This is, at least partly, influenced by the European Union Tobacco Products Directive (2014/40/EU)⁴¹ applicable to its 27 member states which prohibits flavoured cigarettes and other flavoured tobacco products including heated tobacco products (table 2).

While some policies describe additives that are banned by name as in the case of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, others address these additives broadly, for example, Mauritania and Cape Verde focus on banning additives that improve palatability or taste and additives that change tobacco products' aroma. Sugar, as an additive, is explicitly banned in four countries (although some allow for replacing sugar lost during the curing process only) and ammonia, as an additive, is banned in five countries.

Types of policies

We divided the existing regulation into three categories:

1. Packaging and labelling regulations: Prohibit the mention or reference, through text or images, of flavours or foods on packaging without necessarily prohibiting the use of the additive in the nicotine and tobacco product. These policies regulate manufacturers (which need to change packaging) and importers (where there is no manufacturing, to ensure that only compliant products are imported). Kiribati is an example of a country that adopted this type of policy.
2. Sales bans: Prohibit retail outlets from selling products that use additives mostly focused on characterising flavours. This regulation is directed at distributors and points of sale and not manufacturers as it does not ban these additives from being added to nicotine and tobacco products. This type of regulation can be observed, for example, in several cities and two states (Massachusetts and California) in the USA and in the Canadian provinces of Alberta and Nova Scotia.
3. Nicotine and tobacco product design regulations: Restrict or prohibit the addition of certain additives during nicotine or tobacco products' manufacturing process. These policies are directed at manufacturers to change manufacturing practices and importers (to ensure that only compliant products are imported). Brazil, Canada, the USA and the European Union (EU) are examples of countries/regions using this type of policy.

We further organised policies focusing on product design by the key areas of focus or rationale for the policy as stated in its text: Functional, sensorial and health concerns. This division was created to facilitate analysis but in some cases a country's policy fits more than one category (tables 1 and 2).

1. Function-focused policies address the use of additives based on their function regardless of the quantities used. Examples include Canada and Brazil which prohibit additives classified in the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)/World Health Organization (WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives and the Flavour and Extract Manufacturers Association^{42 43} as flavouring regardless of amount levels used, that is, it bans additives in concentration levels that might be below consumers' perception.
2. Sensory-focused policies address additives used to produce clearly noticeable (before or during consumption) smell or taste different from tobacco, for example, fruit, menthol or vanilla flavour. For example, the European Union Tobacco Products Directive⁴¹ prohibits the use of menthol in amounts that make the product menthol-flavoured. However, use of menthol in concentrations below perceptible levels (ie, not sold as a 'menthol product') is authorised.
3. Health concerns-focused policies include restrictions on the use of additives that are known to be harmful to health without combustion especially those with carcinogenic, mutagenic and reprotoxic properties. Policies concerning additives that increase nicotine uptake are included in this group. For example, EU member states, member states of the GCC and Uruguay adopted this type of regulation.

Types of product included in the policy

There was variation in the types of nicotine and tobacco products included in the additive-related policies depending on each country's definition of tobacco product. Electronic nicotine and non-nicotine delivery devices are included in some but not all policies and sometimes they are explicitly exempted. Similarly, exclusions or explicit inclusions are seen for cigars, cigarillos, loose tobacco for roll-your-own cigarettes and smokeless

Table 1 Summary of additive regulation by type of policy and country and inclusion of exclusion of menthol, 1 July 2024

Country	Type of regulation					Exempt menthol
	Packaging and labelling	Sales ban	Product design		Health concerns	
			Sensorial	Functional		
Albania	–	–	X		–	–
Antigua and Barbuda	–	–	–	X	–	–
Australia	–	X	X	–	–	–
Bermuda	–	–	X	–	–	X
Brazil	–	–	–	X	–	–
Cape Verde	–	–	–	X	–	–
Canada	–	X	–	X	–	–
China	–	–	X	–	–	X
Congo	–	–	X	–	–	–
Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf (GCC)—six countries	–	–	X (Saudi Arabia)	–	X (CMR)	X
Ethiopia	–	–	X	–	–	–
European Union (EU)—27 countries	–	–	X	X (Netherlands and Belgium)	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
Iran	–	–	X (no longer in force)	–	–	X
Kiribati	X	–	–	–	–	X
Mauritania	–	–	–	X	–	–
Mauritius	–	–	X	–	–	–
Moldova	–	–	X	–	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
Montenegro	–	–	X	–	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
Niger	–	–	X	–	–	X
Nigeria	–	–	X	–	–	–
Norway	–	–	X (not in force)	–	–	X
Philippines	X	–	X	–	–	X
Senegal	–	–	X	–	–	–
Sierra Leone	–	–	X	–	X (nicotine uptake)	–
Sri Lanka	–	–	X	–	–	–
Turkey	–	–	X	–	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
Ukraine	–	–	X	–	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
Uganda	–	–	X	–	–	–
UK	–	–	X	–	X (CMR/nicotine uptake)	–
USA	–	X	X	–	–	X (only in certain jurisdictions)
Uruguay	–	–	–	X (only ammonia is banned)	X (nicotine uptake)	–

CMR—carcinogenic, mutagenic and reprotoxic properties.

tobacco products. Some policies include waterpipe tobacco, others exclude these products (online supplemental file 1).

Menthol, one of the most commonly used tobacco product additive,⁴⁴ was not always included in policies and in some cases is explicitly excluded (table 1). More than one country that initially exempted menthol later passed a policy to ban its use, often recognising that a menthol exemption limited the public health benefits of the policy.^{32 45} Flavouring capsules that are inserted in the cigarette filters are sometimes, but not always, included in these policies as are the other flavour-related accessories.

DISCUSSION

There is limited but growing evidence that additives-related policies, mostly focused on characterising flavour bans, are effective in preventing youth uptake and supporting cessation,^{28 29 38 46–50} although there is a paucity of research evaluating the impact of these policies in low-income and middle-income countries. Analysis of these policies and the potential challenges with enforcement they pose indicate that a comprehensive ban of all flavouring and other additives in any concentration covering all nicotine and tobacco products would produce better public health outcomes as the use of these additives even in non-perceptible concentrations

Table 2 Number of countries with policies regulating additives and/or flavours by WHO region as of 1 July 2024

WHO region	Number of countries with regulations	Total countries in region (% with policy)
African region (AFR)	10	47 (21.3)
Eastern Mediterranean region (EMR)	6	21 (28.6)
European region (EUR)	34	53 (64.6)
Region of the Americas (AMR)	6	35 (17.1)
South-East Asia region (SEAR)	1	11 (9.1)
Western Pacific region (WPR)	4	27 (14.8)

Countries with regulation at any level (national, subnational or local) are included.
 AFR—Cape Verde, Congo, Ethiopia, Mauritania, Mauritius, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Uganda.
 EMR—members of the GCC.
 EUR—members of the EU (27), plus Albania, Moldova, Montenegro, Norway, Turkey, Ukraine, UK.
 AMR—Antigua and Barbuda, Bermuda, Brazil, Canada, USA, Uruguay.
 SEAR—Sri Lanka.
 WPR—Australia, China, Philippines, Kiribati.

can increase product's attractiveness.⁵¹ Considering the different political realities, the tobacco industry's opposition to these policies, countries' technical-scientific capacity and legal frameworks, a comprehensive ban focusing on product design might facilitate reducing the attractiveness of these products thus reducing initiation and nicotine dependence. We propose that each policy approach has advantages and disadvantages (table 3). For example, while a ban on characterising flavours appears to be a predominant choice, its disadvantage is the difficulty in defining characterising

flavours such as what concentration of menthol is necessary to consider a cigarette a menthol cigarette? The perception of flavours varies between individuals and populations thus a tobacco product with a characterising flavour in one region could be considered non-flavoured in another. Further, this approach often excludes the use of additives at levels below consumers' perception. For example, low levels of menthol reduce irritation and alter the taste of tobacco⁵¹ but may not be described as a characterising flavour. Therefore, policies that focus on characterising flavours should be carefully monitored as manufacturers use a range of additives which can change products' palatability and acceptability, impacting the public health results from these policies.

Exemptions on the types of products covered under these policies pose another challenge particularly for young people attracted to flavoured tobacco products who might initiate consumption through one of the flavoured products exempted from the policy. Countries' experiences show menthol exemptions or the exclusion of non-cigarette products, for example, may limit the full public health potential in terms of initiation and cessation with some flavoured tobacco users migrating to menthol and some youth migrating to non-cigarette flavoured products.^{10 44 48 50 52 53}

There is a growing and largely unregulated market that provides alternative means of delivering flavours to the tobacco product for use by consumers. These include flavour capsules, beads, flavoured tips, flavoured sprays, flavoured paper and other products, collectively known as additives or flavour accessories. Accessories, often not included in flavour-related policies, could create additional challenges and potential loopholes in implementation and enforcement and negatively impact public health outcomes.^{54 55}

Nicotine and tobacco products' additive policies can be challenging to implement. These difficulties are exacerbated in

Table 3 Advantages and disadvantages of different tobacco products additive policies regarding the attractiveness

Policy type*	Pros	Cons	Comments	
Sales ban	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Adaptable for local circumstances.▶ Easily understood by the public.▶ Easy to implement.▶ Low implementation cost.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Limited scope because usually applied to products with characterising flavours and explicit flavour mention in the product.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Exceptions (products and additives) create loopholes where flavoured products remain available.▶ Evidence of effectiveness at local level.²⁹	
Labelling	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Easy to implement.▶ Low implementation cost.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Does not interfere with product flavour.▶ Allows additive/flavour use.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Can strengthen other regulatory additive/ flavour policies (if combined).▶ No evidence of effectiveness available.	
Tobacco product design	Functional	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Comprehensive scope if all products are included.▶ Prevents use of additives that make tobacco products more palatable or acceptable regardless of the perception by user.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Demands highly qualified human resources for enforcement.▶ Time before implementation might be longer.▶ Additives that change flavour can be described as having a different function to avoid compliance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Can be applied to prevent use of additives that make tobacco products more palatable and flavoured products.▶ Enforcement might be facilitated if policy is inclusive of all additives and all products.▶ Evidence of effectiveness (Canada).^{28 38 48}
	Sensorial	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Easy to implement if evidence of characterising flavour is readily available.▶ Low implementation cost.▶ Easily understandable to the population.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Allows the use of additives at levels that are not perceived by the consumer or branding.▶ Enforcement requires highly qualified workforce and laboratory facilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ The most common regulatory approach.▶ Enforcement needs might be facilitated by the establishment of an independent advisory panel to inform on the presence of characterising flavours.▶ Evidence of effectiveness (EU and USA).^{36 48–50}

* Health concerns focused regulations are not included in this table.
 EU, European Union.

low-income and middle-income countries. The tobacco industry is known to oppose these additive policies^{35 56–62} including the threat of litigation.⁵⁶ In some cases, these policies may be reversed by government decision. For example, the Islamic Republic of Iran reversed a policy pertaining to additives in nicotine and tobacco products.⁶³ Therefore, countries considering these policies should be informed by experience from countries that have adopted such restrictions. Partnerships with civil society^{56 64} could support countries' in defending their additives-related policies. Countries have a range of resources to support the formulation, implementation, enforcement and defence of additives-related policies including those provided by the WHO and the Conference of the Parties to the FCTC especially the partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 of the WHO FCTC: Regulation of the contents of tobacco products and regulation of tobacco product disclosures.²¹

Limitations

Despite best efforts, it is possible that some countries' policies were missed or misinterpreted due to our inability to conduct searches in several languages. Additionally, this is a rapidly changing policy environment and policies that have been approved after July 2024 are not included.

There is a range of definitions of additives and flavours within and between regions creating difficulties for cross-country and cross-regional evaluation and comparisons especially when evaluating the impact of these policies. In addition, policies may differ by policy type (ie, sales restrictions vs bans); product categories (ie, cigarettes only vs all tobacco products), flavours (ie, menthol only vs flavours excluding menthol vs flavours including menthol) and point of purchase (eg, sales to minors only vs all age groups, online vs in person) as well as by level of compliance and enforcement adding challenges for comparing policies. We did not assess implementation, enforcement and compliance with existing policies and lack or weak enforcement could undermine the expected positive public health impact of these policies.

CONCLUSIONS

The data demonstrate that there is a wide range of nicotine and tobacco policies addressing additives and/or flavours globally. There are discrepancies in the rate at which these policies are being evaluated with low-income and middle-income countries in need of additional support to assess policy impact post-adoption. As countries consider these policies, they may also need legal support to defend against tobacco and related industry-initiated litigation.

Emerging research mostly from the EU and high-income countries, however, demonstrates that comprehensive bans which include all types of nicotine and tobacco products and a wider range of additives, specifically flavours, lead to a decrease in consumption through lower youth initiation and quitting among existing tobacco users. Thus, efforts should be made to close regulatory gaps and support a full ban on flavours and/or additives in nicotine and tobacco products. The establishment of common definitions and standards could facilitate cooperation between countries and regions.

Acknowledgements Division/Health Promotion Department of the WHO; the team from the Office of Tobacco Control of the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA), Brazil; and the Center for Tobacco Control Research and Education, University of California, San Francisco, USA, and Seyed Mehrdad Mohammadi, MD, MPH, MA, for the insights about additive regulation in Middle Eastern Region. The statements and opinions expressed in the article are those of the author and are based on current scientific evidence and do not represent any institutional guidance and/or opinion of UCSF, Anvisa, the Brazilian Ministry of Health, and/or the Brazilian Government.

Contributors Concept and design: ALOdS. Acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data: ALOdS/SB. Drafting of the manuscript: ALOdS. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: ALOdS/SB. Obtained funding: N/A. Administrative, technical or material support: SB. Supervision: SB. Guarantor: ALOdS.

Funding This work was partly supported by WHO/Healthier Populations Division/Health Promotion Department/No Tobacco Unit. ALOdS was supported by the Briger Family International Postdoctoral Fellowship at the UCSF Center for Tobacco Control Research and Education. SB was partially supported by National Institutes of Health R01CA268491. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies.

Competing interests No, there are no competing interests.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data sharing not applicable as no data sets generated and/or analysed for this study.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Andre Luiz Oliveira da Silva <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4768-959X>

Stella Bialous <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6471-5457>

REFERENCES

- 1 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking: 50 years of progress. a report of the surgeon general. atlanta (ga): u.s. department of health and human services, centers for disease control and prevention, national center for chronic disease prevention and health promotion. 2014. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK179276/>
- 2 World Health Organization. Tobacco. 2023. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco>
- 3 Tanski SE, Prokhorov AV, Klein JD. Youth and tobacco. *Minerva Pediatr* 2004;56:553–65.
- 4 Prokhorov AV, Winickoff JP, Ahluwalia JS, *et al*. Youth tobacco use: a global perspective for child health care clinicians. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:e890–903.
- 5 Lando HA, Hipple BJ, Muramoto M, *et al*. Tobacco is a global paediatric concern. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010;88:2.
- 6 Chen J, Millar WJ. Age of smoking initiation: implications for quitting. *H Rep* 1998;9:39–46.
- 7 Khuder SA, Dayal HH, Mutgi AB. Age at smoking onset and its effect on smoking cessation. *Addict Behav* 1999;24:673–7.
- 8 National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (US) Office on Smoking and Health. The tobacco industry's influences on the use of tobacco among youth. In: *Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: a report of the surgeon general*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US), 2012. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99238/>
- 9 National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (US) Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of tobacco use among young people. In: *Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: a report of the surgeon general*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US): Atlanta, GA, 2012. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99242/>
- 10 Center for Tobacco Products. Menthol and other flavors in tobacco products. FDA; 2022. Available: <https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/products-ingredients-components/menthol-and-other-flavors-tobacco-products>
- 11 Hoffmann D, Hoffmann I. The changing cigarette, 1950–1995. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1997;50:307–64.
- 12 Connolly GN, Wayne GD, Lymperis D, *et al*. How cigarette additives are used to mask environmental tobacco smoke. *Tob Control* 2000;9:283–91.
- 13 Anderson SJ. Menthol cigarettes and smoking cessation behaviour: a review of tobacco industry documents. *Tob Control* 2011;20 Suppl 2:ii49–56.

- 14 Ling PM, Glantz SA. Tobacco industry research on smoking cessation. Recapturing young adults and other recent quitters. *J Gen Intern Med* 2004;19:419–26.
- 15 Wayne GF, Connolly GN. How cigarette design can affect youth initiation into smoking: Camel cigarettes 1983–93. *Tob Control* 2002;11 Suppl 1:132–9.
- 16 Bates C, Connolly GN, Jarvis M. *Tobacco additives: cigarette engineering and nicotine addiction*. London, 1999. Available: <https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/tobacco-additives-cigarette-engineering-and-nicotine-addiction>
- 17 Keithly L, Ferris Wayne G, Cullen D, et al. Industry research on the use and effects of levulinic acid: A case study in cigarette additives. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2005;7:761–71.
- 18 German Cancer Research Center. *Additives in tobacco products contribution of carob bean extract, cellulose fibre, guar gum, liquorice, menthol, prune juice concentrate and vanillin to attractiveness and addictiveness and toxicity of tobacco smoking*. Heidelberg: German Cancer Research Center, 2012. Available: http://www.dkfz.de/de/tabakkontrolle/download/PITOC/PITOC_Additives_in_Tobacco_Products_Report.pdf
- 19 Codex Alimentarius. Guidelines for the use of flavourings - CAC/GL 66-2008. 2008. Available: https://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/sh-proxy/en/?ink=1&url=https%253A%252F%252Fworkspace.fao.org%252Fsites%252Fcodex%252Fstandards%252FCXG%2B66-2008%252FCxg_066e.pdf
- 20 SCENIHR (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks). *Addictiveness and attractiveness of tobacco additives*. Brussels: European Commission DG Health & Consumers, 2010. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/emerging/docs/scenihr_o_031.pdf
- 21 Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). *Partial guidelines for implementation of articles 9 and 10 of the who framework convention on tobacco control: regulation of the contents of tobacco products and regulation of tobacco product disclosures*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO FCTC, 2017. Available: <https://fctc.who.int/publications/m/item/regulation-of-the-contents-of-tobacco-products-and-regulation-of-tobacco-product-disclosures>
- 22 Pepper JK, Ribisl KM, Brewer NT. Adolescents' interest in trying flavoured e-cigarettes. *Tob Control* 2016;25:ii62–6.
- 23 Carpenter CM, Wayne GF, Pauly JL, et al. New Cigarette Brands With Flavors That Appeal To Youth: Tobacco Marketing Strategies. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2005;24:1601–10.
- 24 King BA, Tynan MA, Dube SR, et al. Flavored-Little-Cigar and Flavored-Cigarette Use Among U.S. Middle and High School Students. *J Adolesc Health* 2014;54:40–6.
- 25 Courtemanche CJ, Palmer MK, Pesko MF. Influence of the Flavored Cigarette Ban on Adolescent Tobacco Use. *Am J Prev Med* 2017;52:e139–46.
- 26 Levy DT, Pearson JL, Villanti AC, et al. Modeling the future effects of a menthol ban on smoking prevalence and smoking-attributable deaths in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2011;101:1236–40.
- 27 Moodie C, Thrasher JF, Barnoya J, et al. Tobacco Industry Claims About Transformation are Inconsistent With Combustible Cigarette Innovations: The Case of Flavor Capsule Cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2023;25:1891–5.
- 28 Chaiton MO, Nicolau I, Schwartz R, et al. Ban on menthol-flavoured tobacco products predicts cigarette cessation at 1 year: a population cohort study. *Tob Control* 2020;29:341–7.
- 29 Farley SM, Johns M. New York City flavoured tobacco product sales ban evaluation. *Tob Control* 2017;26:78–84.
- 30 Liber AC, Stoklosa M, Levy DT, et al. An analysis of cigarette sales during Poland's menthol cigarette sales ban: small effects with large policy implications. *Eur J Public Health* 2022;32:735–40.
- 31 Cadham CJ, Sanchez-Romero LM, Fleischer NL, et al. The actual and anticipated effects of a menthol cigarette ban: a scoping review. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:1055.
- 32 Chaiton MO, Cunningham R, Hagen L, et al. Taking global leadership in banning menthol and other flavours in tobacco: Canada's experience. *Tob Control* 2022;31:202–11.
- 33 Zeng Z, Cook AR, Chen JI-P, et al. Evaluating the public health impact of partial and full tobacco flavour bans: A simulation study. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac* 2022;21:100414.
- 34 Bold KW, Jatlow P, Fucito LM, et al. Evaluating the effect of switching to non-menthol cigarettes among current menthol smokers: an empirical study of a potential ban of characterising menthol flavour in cigarettes. *Tob Control* 2020;29:624–30.
- 35 Brown J, DeAtley T, Welding K, et al. Tobacco industry response to menthol cigarette bans in Alberta and Nova Scotia, Canada. *Tob Control* 2017;26:e71–4.
- 36 Chaiton M, Papadhimas I, Schwartz R, et al. Product Substitution After A Real-World Menthol Ban: A Cohort Study. *Tob Regul Sci* 2020;6:205–12.
- 37 Chaiton M, Schwartz R, Cohen JE, et al. Prior Daily Menthol Smokers More Likely to Quit 2 Years After a Menthol Ban Than Non-menthol Smokers: A Population Cohort Study. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2021;23:1584–9.
- 38 Fong GT, Chung-Hall J, Meng G, et al. Impact of Canada's menthol cigarette ban on quitting among menthol smokers: pooled analysis of pre–post evaluation from the ITC Project and the Ontario Menthol Ban Study and projections of impact in the USA. *Tob Control* 2022. Available: <https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2022/04/27/tobaccocontrol-2021-057227>
- 39 Erinoso O, Clegg Smith K, Iacobelli M, et al. Global review of tobacco product flavour policies. *Tob Control* 2021;30:373–9.
- 40 Secretariat of the WHO FCTC. Global progress reports. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. 2023 Available: <https://fctc.who.int/who-fctc/reporting/global-progress-reports>
- 41 European Parliament. Directive 2014/40/eu of the european parliament and of the council of 3 april 2014 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the member states concerning the manufacture, presentation and sale of tobacco and related products and repealing directive 2001/37/ec. In: *Official Journal of the European Union*. 2014. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32014L0040>
- 42 BRASIL, Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Resolução de diretoria colegiada - rdc no 14 de 15 março de 2012. dispõe sobre os limites máximos de alcatrão, nicotina e monóxido de carbono nos cigarros e a restrição do uso de aditivos nos produtos fumígenos derivados do tabaco, e dá outras providências. RDC. 2012 Available: http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/documents/10181/2978962/RDC_14_2012_pdf/baeb28a7-90fc-49f3-9bfb-761de80af0b7
- 43 Tobacco and vaping products act (s.c. 1997, c. 13). 1997 Available: <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-11.5/>
- 44 Tobacco Tactics. Flavoured and menthol tobacco. TobaccoTactics; 2022. Available: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/flavoured-and-menthol-tobacco/>
- 45 Jackler RK, Ramamurthi D, Willett J, et al. Advertising created & continues to drive the menthol tobacco market: Methods Used by The Industry to Target Youth, Women, and Black Americans. Stanford: Stanford University, 2022. Available: https://tobacco-img.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/03112705/SRITA-AHA-MentholAdvertising_executivesummary.pdf
- 46 Moodie C, Page N, Moore G. Prevalence of Menthol and Menthol Capsule Cigarette Use Among 11–16 Year Olds in Wales Prior to a Ban on Characterizing Flavors in Cigarettes: Findings From the 2019 Student Health and Wellbeing Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2022;24:1257–63.
- 47 Rossheim ME, Livingston MD, Krall JR, et al. Cigarette Use Before and After the 2009 Flavored Cigarette Ban. *J Adolesc Health* 2020;67:432–7.
- 48 Chaiton M, Schwartz R, Shuldiner J, et al. Evaluating a Real World Ban on Menthol Cigarettes: An Interrupted Time-Series Analysis of Sales. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2020;22:576–9.
- 49 Kyriakos CN, Driezen P, Fong G, et al. Impact of the European Union's menthol cigarette ban on smoking cessation outcomes: longitudinal findings from the 2020–2021 ITC Netherlands Surveys. *Tob Control* 2024;33:302–9.
- 50 East KA, Reid JL, Burkhalter R, et al. Evaluating the Outcomes of the Menthol Cigarette Ban in England by Comparing Menthol Cigarette Smoking Among Youth in England, Canada, and the US, 2018–2020. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022;5:e2210029.
- 51 Lempert L, Glantz SA. More than a “characterizing flavor”: Menthol at subliminal levels in tobacco products. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2024;261:111346.
- 52 Ahijevych K, Garrett BE. The Role of Menthol in Cigarettes as a Reinforcer of Smoking Behavior. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2010;12:S110–6.
- 53 Leas EC, Benmarhnia T, Strong DR, et al. Use of Menthol Cigarettes, Smoking Frequency, and Nicotine Dependence Among US Youth. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022;5:e2217144.
- 54 Havermans A, Pauwels C, Klerx W, et al. Flavor accessories: Increasing tobacco products' attractiveness drop-by-drop. *Tob Prev Cessation* 2022;8.
- 55 Havermans A, Pauwels C, Hellmich I, et al. Inventory of worldwide availability of flavour accessories: do-it-yourself flavour addition to increase tobacco products' attractiveness. *Tob Prev Cessation* 2023;9.
- 56 Oliveira da Silva AL, Bialous SA, Albertassi PGD, et al. The taste of smoke: tobacco industry strategies to prevent the prohibition of additives in tobacco products in Brazil. *Tob Control* 2019;28:e92–101.
- 57 Lencucha R, Ruckert A, Labonte R, et al. Opening windows and closing gaps: a case analysis of Canada's 2009 tobacco additives ban and its policy lessons. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:1321.
- 58 Sircar NR, Glantz SA. Defeating JUUL's Effort to Rewrite San Francisco's E-Cigarette Regulations. *Am J Public Health* 2021;111:457–64.
- 59 Borland T, D'Souza SA, O'Connor S, et al. Is blue the new green? Repackaging menthol cigarettes in response to a flavour ban in Ontario, Canada. *Tob Control* 2019;28:e7–12.
- 60 Brink AL, Glahn AS, Kjaer NT. Tobacco companies' exploitation of loopholes in the EU ban on menthol cigarettes: a case study from Denmark. *Tob Prev Cessat* 2022. Available: [https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2022/03/20/tobaccocontrol-2021-057213</](https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2022/03/20/tobaccocontrol-2021-057213)

GLOBAL LANDSCAPE OF NICOTINE AND TOBACCO PRODUCTS ADDITIVES POLICIES

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE:

Supplemental Table – Global nicotine and tobacco products additives and/or flavour regulation, as of July 01, 2024.

Supplemental Table – Global nicotine and tobacco products additives and/or flavour regulation, as of July 01, 2024.

Country/ Region		NATIONAL LEVEL (Year of implementation)	STATE/PROVINCE/OR EQUIVALENT LEVEL (Year of implementation)
1.	Albania	(2019) Banned the placement in the market of tobacco products with characterizing flavour, including menthol ¹	N/A
2.	Antigua and Barbuda	(2018) Banned tobacco products having characterizing flavour, containing a flavouring in any component or any technical feature allowing modification of the smell or taste of the tobacco product, or its smoke intensity, including menthol ²	N/A
3.	Australia	(2024) Banned the inclusion of prohibited tobacco product accessories (example: flavour capsules), including those that alter, or are capable of altering, the flavour or smell of a tobacco product. ³	(2017) Queensland — Ban the sales of fruit and confectionary flavoured tobacco products ⁴ (2018) Western Australia — Packages cannot be displayed by retailers if they contain (or have words, pictures or images that suggest they contain) fruit or confectionary flavoured cigarettes; fruit and confectionary flavoured cigarettes are prohibited ⁵ (2012) Tasmania — Sales of fruit and confectionary flavoured tobacco products and non-tobacco products (designed to resemble a tobacco product) are prohibited. ⁶ (2009) Victoria — The Secretary may recommend a ban in respect of a product if the product or its smoke possesses a distinctive fruity, sweet or confectionary-like character; or the product has packaging that appeals to children or young people. ⁷ Non-Tobacco Products: Resembles a tobacco product; or is of a nature or is advertised in a way that may encourage children or young people to smoke and the supply of the product should be prohibited, with regard to the objects of the Act (2008) New South Wales — The Minister may declare a tobacco product prohibited if the product or its smoke has a distinctive fruity, sweet or confectionary-like character that might encourage a minor to smoke. ⁸ (2006) South Australia — The Minister may declare a tobacco product prohibited if the product or its smoke has a

			distinctive fruity, sweet or confectionary like character that might encourage a minor to smoke. ⁹
4.	Bermuda	(2015) Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco products, exempts menthol ¹⁰	N/A
5.	Brazil	(2012) Banned tobacco additives that alter the flavour and aroma of smoke in all tobacco and non-tobacco products (due to court injunctions, several of these products remain in the market). ^{11,12}	N/A
6.	Cape Verde	(2022) Banned tobacco additives that alter the flavour and aroma of smoke in all tobacco and non-tobacco products. ¹³	N/A
7.	Canada	<p>(2009) Banned additives (2017) Banned menthol (2018) Banned flavour illustrations on vaping products.</p> <p>Regulation applied to Cigarettes, Cigars, (<6 g), little cigars, blunt wraps ¹⁴</p>	<p>(2010, updated in 2019/2020) — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco products/ banned flavoured vapour products. ¹⁵</p> <p>(2015) Nova Scotia — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco and vaping products. ¹⁶</p> <p>(2015) Alberta — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco and vaping products. ¹⁷</p> <p>(2016) Ontario — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco products. ¹⁸</p> <p>(2017) Newfoundland and Labrador — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco products. ¹⁹</p> <p>(2020) Northwest Territories — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco and vaping products. ²⁰</p> <p>(2016/2023) Quebec — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco products and vaping products (2023). ²¹</p> <p>(2016/2021) New Brunswick — Sale of all flavoured tobacco (2016) and e-cigarettes (2021) are prohibited. ²²</p> <p>(2017) Prince Edward Island — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco and vaping products. ²³</p> <p>(2020) Yukon — Banned the sale of flavoured tobacco and vaping products. ²⁴</p>
8.	China	<p>(2022) Banned all characterizing flavours (including menthol) other than tobacco in electronic cigarettes. E-cigarettes products that do not contain nicotine must not enter the market for sale.. ^{25,26}</p> <p>(No date announced) Hong Kong's Health Bureau announced that will ban flavoured cigarettes, including menthol cigarettes and candy/fruit-flavoured cigarettes. ²⁷</p>	N/A
9.	Congo	(2022) Banned the manufacture, import, distribution, possession, sale or giveaway of flavour capsule cigarettes, cigarettes with characterizing flavours, and shisha. ²⁸	N/A

10	Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf (GCC) (Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab, Emirates)	<p>(2014) prohibit the following additives: <i>Acidum agaricinicum</i>, <i>oleum betulae empyreumaticum</i>, bitter almond oil associated with or containing hydrocyanic acid, <i>oleum sassafras</i>, <i>oleum juniperi empyreumaticum</i>, <i>oleum camphorae</i>, camphor, coumarin, safrole, thujone. Aromas and flavours produced from: stipites dulcamarae, lignum camphorae, rhizoma polypodii, rhizoma filicis dulcis, herba pulegii, lignum quassiae, cortex quilaiae, herba tanacetii, herba rutae, lignum sassafras, folia sassafras, cortex sassafras, melilotus officinalis, semen toncae, liatris odorata, asperula odorata. Adopted a positive list of additives. ²⁹</p> <p>(2022) Saudi Arabia – some e-cigarette flavours, like cocoa, vanilla, coffee, tea, spices, candy, chewing gum, cola, and alcohol were banned. Fruit flavours, menthol, or a mixture of these are allowed. ^{30,31}</p>	N/A
11	Ethiopia	(2015) Banned flavours, including menthol. ³²	N/A
12	European Union [92] (Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden)	<p>Banned flavour additives in cigarettes, rolling tobacco and capsules since 2014 European Tobacco Products Directive (EUTPD) (2014/40/EU). Menthol banned in 2020. Adopted by all EU members. ³³</p> <p>(2022) EU TPD requires member states to ban characterizing flavours in Heated Tobacco Products. ³³</p> <p>The following countries have, or had, regulations in addition to the EUTPD:</p> <p>Belgium - (2012) banned three ingredients (E418 gomme gellane, E133 “bleu brillant FCF”, medium chain triglycerides (MCT) needed to include the “menthol capsules” in cigarettes. Banned TRPM8 receptor agonists. ³⁴</p> <p>France – (2009) established a maximum limit for the presence of: vanilla, and vanilline and ethylvanilline in cigarettes. banned the sweetener applied to the cuff of the cigarette (threshold of analytical detection). ³⁵</p> <p>Finland – (2017) banned all e-liquids with characterizing flavours, and therefore the only permitted flavour is tobacco. ³⁶</p> <p>Germany – (2012) Banned flavour capsules (1977, updated in 2016) was the first country to ban additives, in this case additives with <u>CMR (carcinogenic, mutagenic and reprotoxic) properties</u> ^{37–39}</p> <p>Netherlands (2023) – banned flavours in electronic cigarettes. ⁴⁰</p> <p>(2025) – Ban functional additives; sugars, additives with coloring properties for the emissions; Additives that facilitate inhalation or nicotine uptake, including TRPM8 receptor agonists, menthol (and derivatives) and synthetic coolants in e-cigs and vapes ⁴¹</p> <p>Lithuania — (2009, replaced in 2016 by EUTPD) —prohibition on CMR additives. ⁴²</p>	N/A
13	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	(2015) “The use of pictures, flavour or taste of any tobacco products in the production of food and vice versa are banned”.	N/A

		(2022) In March 2022 the 55th Session of The Deregulation and Improvement of Business Environment Board decided to remove the term “vice versa” from the NHQTC Directive on Definitions, Scope and Characteristics of Tobacco Products Advertising, which made the rule not applicable to tobacco products. ⁴³	
14	Kiribati*	(2013) Prohibited misleading labelling – including any brand element or any other written terms, descriptor, symbol or sign that directly or indirectly creates the false impression that a particular tobacco product is less harmful than other tobacco products. ⁴⁴	N/A
15	Mauritania	(2018) Prohibited the use ingredients that can improve the taste of tobacco products, ingredients that have colouring properties in tobacco products. Menthol is banned. ⁴⁵	N/A
16	Mauritius	(2022) Banned the sale, offer for sale, import, manufacture, or distribution of tobacco products, including cigarettes that have a characterizing flavour. Menthol is banned. ⁴⁶	N/A
17	Moldova	(2015) Banned tobacco products with characteristic flavour, ingredients that have colouring properties and CMR additives, includes menthol. ⁴⁷	N/A
18	Montenegro	(2019) Prohibited production and marketing of tobacco products with characteristic aroma and aromatic, substances or some technical features that allow change of odour or taste of tobacco product or intensity of tobacco smoke and CMR additives. Menthol is banned. ⁴⁸	N/A
19	Niger	(2017) Regulated specified contents of cigarettes, including banning sugars and sweeteners; mint and spearmint; spices and herbs; and other flavours not previously specified. Menthol is allowed. ⁴⁹	N/A
20	Nigeria	(2018) Banned characterizing flavours in the manufacture of cigarettes. Menthol is banned. ⁵⁰	N/A
21	Norway	(2017) Banned cigarettes and roll-your-own tobacco with a “characteristic flavouring”, which is defined as those with discernible odour or flavour other than tobacco, as a result of an additive or a combination of additives, such as fruit, spices, herbs, alcohol, candy, menthol or vanilla, and that is noticeable before or during consumption of the goods. However, this provision will go into effect at a date to be determined by the King. ⁵¹	N/A
22	Philippines	(2022) Prohibited flavours in e-liquids, except menthol and tobacco. Banned of flavour descriptors and images on vaporized nicotine or non-nicotine and novel tobacco products. ⁵²	N/A
23	Senegal	(2016) Banned tobacco products with characterizing flavours. Menthol is banned. ⁵³	N/A
24	Sierra Leone	(2022) Banned the manufacture, import, distribution and offer for sale of tobacco or nicotine products with characterizing flavours and additives associate with increase the nicotine uptake. ⁵⁴	N/A
25	Sri Lanka	(2016) Banned the manufacture, import, sales or offer for sale any smokeless tobacco product or mixture that contain tobacco; any flavoured, coloured, or sweetened cigarette that contains tobacco; any electronic cigarette that contains tobacco. Menthol is banned. ⁵⁵	N/A

26	Turkey	(2019) Banned sales of cigarettes and crushed rolling tobacco with characterizing flavour. Banned Tobacco products containing additives with colouring properties for emissions, that make inhalation or nicotine delivery easier for smoking tobacco and CMR properties in unburned form. Menthol is banned. ⁵⁶	N/A
27	Ukraine	(2021) Banned tobacco products with a characteristic smell and/or taste, additives that have the ability to make emissions coloured, additives that have CMR properties in unburnt form, containing additives that facilitate nicotine uptake or the inhalation of smoke. Menthol is banned. ⁵⁷	N/A
28	Uganda	(2015) Banned tobacco products with characterizing flavours, that has any technical feature that allows the release or modification of the smell or taste of the tobacco product or the smoke intensity, that contains colouring properties for emissions ⁵⁸	N/A
29	United Kingdom	(2016) Banned cigarettes or hand rolling tobacco with a characterising flavour, other than menthol, which have colouring effects on emissions, additives that facilitate inhalation or nicotine uptake, additives that have CMR properties in unburnt form or when it is consumed. ⁵⁹ (2020) Banned menthol. ⁶⁰	N/A
30	United States of America**	(2009) Banned additives or ingredients that impart characterizing flavour in the tobacco product or tobacco smoke in cigarettes and roll-your-own tobacco, exempts menthol. (2022) Proposed rule to prohibit menthol in cigarettes and to prohibit all characterizing flavours (other than tobacco) in cigars ^{61–64} .	(2022) California – Sale of most flavoured tobacco products prohibited — 143 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned at state level. Colorado — 7 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 6 municipalities/counties. Georgia —1 municipality/county has additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 1 municipality/county. Illinois — 4 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 1 municipality/county. Maine — 8 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 7 municipalities/counties. (2020) Maryland – Flavoured e-cigs were banned – 1 municipality has additive regulations. Menthol is banned. (2019) Massachusetts — Sale of all flavoured tobacco products is banned. Menthol is banned at state level. 168 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is also banned in 22 municipalities/counties. Minnesota — 30 municipalities/ counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 22 municipalities/counties.

			(2019) Montana – temporary ban of flavoured e-cigs in response to EVALI no longer in force. 1 municipality/county have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 1 municipality/county. (2018) New Jersey — Sale of all flavoured e-cigarettes prohibited in all locations. Menthol is banned at state level. 4 municipalities/counties have additive regulations (2019) New York State — Sale of all flavoured e-cigarettes is banned in all locations. Menthol is banned at state level — 2 municipalities/counties have additive regulations North Dakota — 2 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is allowed. Ohio — 3 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 2 municipalities/counties. Oregon — 2 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. Menthol is banned in 2 municipalities/counties. (2020) Rhode Island Sale of all flavoured (including menthol) e-cigarettes prohibited in all locations — 6 municipalities/counties have additive regulations. (2021) Washington, DC — Sale of flavoured tobacco products (not applied to flavoured hookah to be consumed on site), including e-cigarettes prohibited. Menthol is banned. (2020) – Utah - Sale of flavoured e-cigarettes is banned. Menthol is allowed.
31	Uruguay	Banned ammonia in tobacco products in 2008. Menthol and other additives/flavours are allowed. ⁶⁵	N/A

Legend: CMR – Carcinogenic, mutagenic and reprotoxic properties

* Countries that only regulated messages about additives or flavours on packaging. No regulations were found on the use of flavours or additives in tobacco products.
** For updated information on the regulatory status of USA cities see: Laura Bach, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. States & Localities with Flavoured Tobacco Restrictions. 2024. Available from: <https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/assets/factsheets/0398.pdf>; American Nonsmokers’ Rights (ANR). Municipalities Prohibiting the Sale of Flavoured Tobacco Products. 2024. Available from: <https://no-smoke.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/flavoured-tobacco-product-sales.pdf>; Public Health Law Center 2023. Available from: <https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/sites/default/files/resources/US-sales-restrictions-flavoured-tobacco-products.pdf>

References:

1. Albania. Law no. 56/2019 - on some amendments and additions to law no. 9636, dated 11.6.2006, “on the protection of health from tobacco products”, as amended [Internet]. [citado 21 de março de 2022]. Disponível em: https://www.tobaccocontrollaws.org/files/live/Albania/Albania%20-%20Law%20No.%2056_2019.pdf
2. Antigua and Barbuda. Tobacco Control Act 2018 No. 17 of 2018 [Internet]. 2018 p. 34. Disponível em: <http://laws.gov.ag/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/No.-17-of-2018-Tobacco-Control-Act-2018.pdf>
3. Australia, The Parliament of the, Commonwealth of Australia, House of Representatives. Public Health (Tobacco and Other Products) Bill 2023 [Internet]. out, 2023. Disponível em: https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/legislation/bills/r7083_aspassed/toc_pdf/23115b01.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22legislation/bills/r7083_aspassed/0000%22
4. Queensland government. Laws for retailing smoking products [Internet]. 2021. Disponível em: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/700137/smoking-products-retailers.pdf
5. Western Australian. Tobacco Products Control Act [Internet]. Western Australian Legislation. 2006 [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: [https://www.legislation.wa.gov.au/legislation/prod/filestore.nsf/FileURL/mrdoc_45891.pdf/\\$FILE/Tobacco%20Products%20Control%20Act%202006%20-%20%5B02-k0-00%5D.pdf?OpenElement](https://www.legislation.wa.gov.au/legislation/prod/filestore.nsf/FileURL/mrdoc_45891.pdf/$FILE/Tobacco%20Products%20Control%20Act%202006%20-%20%5B02-k0-00%5D.pdf?OpenElement)
6. Tasmania. Public Health Act 1997 An Act to protect and promote the health of communities in the State and reduce the incidence of preventable illness [Internet]. Disponível em: <https://www.legislation.tas.gov.au/view/whole/html/inforce/2014-07-01/act-1997-086>
7. Victoria Government. Authorised Version No. 094. Tobacco Act 1987. No. 81 of 1987 [Internet]. Disponível em: <https://content.legislation.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-05/87-81aa094%20authorised.pdf>
8. New South Wales Health. Tobacco and e-cigarette retailing laws - Tobacco and smoking [Internet]. 2021 [citado 17 de fevereiro de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/tobacco/Pages/tobacco-retailing-laws.aspx>
9. Government of South Australia, Health SA. Tobacco related legislation [Internet]. [citado 17 de fevereiro de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/Public+Content/SA+Health+Internet/About+us/Legislation/Tobacco+related+legislation/Tobacco+related+legislation>

10. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Bermuda [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/bermuda/cigarette-contents>
11. BRASIL, Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Resolução de Diretoria Colegiada - RDC nº 14 de 15 março de 2012. Dispõe sobre os limites máximos de alcatrão, nicotina e monóxido de carbono nos cigarros e a restrição do uso de aditivos nos produtos fumígenos derivados do tabaco, e dá outras providências. [Internet]. RDC 14/2012 2012. Disponível em: http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/documents/10181/2978962/RDC_14_2012_.pdf/baeb28a7-90fc-49f3-9bf8-761de80af0b7
12. Oliveira da Silva AL, Bialous SA, Albertassi PGD, Arquete DA dos R, Fernandes AMMS, Moreira JC. The taste of smoke: tobacco industry strategies to prevent the prohibition of additives in tobacco products in Brazil. *Tob Control*. dezembro de 2019;28(e2):e92–101.
13. República de Cabo Verde. Lei nº 8/X/2022 - Assembléia Nacional de Cabo Verde [Internet]. [citado 9 de fevereiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://kiosk.incv.cv/1.1.47.4230/>
14. Canada. Tobacco and Vaping Products Act (S.C. 1997, c. 13) [Internet]. Disponível em: <http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-11.5/>
15. Saskatchewan. The Tobacco and Vapour Products Control Act, as amended by Statutes of Saskatchewan, 2002, c.C-11.1 and R-8.2; 2003, c.15; 2004, c.51; 2009, c.5; 2010, c.34; 2014, C-7.31; 2015, c.17; 2017, c.P-30.3; and 2019, c.32. [Internet]. 2002. Disponível em: <https://publications.saskatchewan.ca/#/products/902>
16. Nova Scotia. Tobacco Access Act. CHAPTER 14 OF THE ACTS OF 1993 2020 p. 9.
17. Province of Alberta. Tobacco, Smoking and Vaping Reduction Act. This Act establishes a number of measures to protect Albertans from the harms of tobacco, second-hand smoke, and vaping (particularly among youth). [Internet]. Chapter/Regulation: T-3.8 2005 2005. Disponível em: <https://open.alberta.ca/publications/t03p8>
18. Ontario. Smoke-Free Ontario Act, 2017, S.O. 2017, c. 26, Sched. 3 [Internet]. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/view>
19. Newfoundland and Labrador. Tobacco and vapour products control act. Amended: 1995 c25; 1996 cR-10.1 s73; 1996 cT-0.01 s20; 1999 c8; 2000 c24; 2009 c15; 2012 cP-12.2 s67; 2014 cC-11.01 s40 (not in force - not included here) 2016 c32 ss5 to 17; 2018 cC-4.1 s110 [Internet]. 1993. Disponível em: https://assembly.nl.ca/legislation/sr/statutes/t04-1.htm#3_4
20. Government of Northwest Territories. Tobacco and Vapour Products Control Act [Internet]. 2020 p. 32. Disponível em: <https://www.justice.gov.nt.ca/en/files/legislation/tobacco-and-vapour-products/tobacco-and-vapour-products.a.pdf>

21. Quebec. l-6.2 - Tobacco Control Act [Internet]. 2023 [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/en/document/cs/l-6.2>
22. New Brunswick. T-6.1 - Tobacco and Electronic Cigarette Sales Act [Internet]. 2023 [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: https://laws.gnb.ca/en/document/cs/T-6.1?_gl=1*1w2xvii*_ga*MTMyMDEyMDQyOC4xNzA2MTIxODg4*_ga_F531P4D0XX*MTcwNjEyMTg4OC4xLjAuMTcwNjEyMTkzNy4wLjAuMA..
23. Prince Edward Island. Tobacco and electronic smoking device sales and access act [Internet]. 2020. Disponível em: https://www.princeedwardisland.ca/sites/default/files/legislation/t-03-1-tobacco_and_electronic_smoking_device_sales_and_access_act.pdf
24. Yukon. Tobacco and Vaping Products Control and Regulation Act - SY 2019, c.14 [Internet]. 2019. Disponível em: <https://laws.yukon.ca/cms/images/LEGISLATION/PRINCIPAL/2019/2019-0014/2019-0014.pdf>
25. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - China [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 11 de setembro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/country/china/ec-policies>
26. Chowdhury A, Ettinger DJ, Gu E. China Publishes Final GB Standard on E-Cigarettes: The Basis for Product Compliance [Internet]. The National Law Review. 2022 [citado 7 de julho de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.natlawreview.com/article/china-publishes-final-gb-standard-e-cigarettes-basis-product-compliance>
27. Health Bureau HK. Government puts forward more targeted tobacco control measures to bring down tobacco hazards [Internet]. 2024 [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/202406/06/P2024060600570.htm>
28. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Congo [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/congo/cigarette-contents>
29. Gulf Cooperation Council. GCC Standardization Organization (GSO) 2390 - 2014 [Internet]. 2014. Disponível em: https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/files/live/Oman/Oman%20-%20GSO%202390_2014.pdf
30. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Saudi Arabia [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/saudi-arabia>
31. Zhu E. Fruit and Menthol Survived Saudi Flavor Ban [Internet]. @2Firsts. 2022 [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.2firsts.com/news/fruit-and-menthol-survived-saudi-flavor-ban>

32. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by country/jurisdiction - Ethiopia [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/ethiopia>
33. European Parliament. Directive 2014/40/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 April 2014 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States concerning the manufacture, presentation and sale of tobacco and related products and repealing Directive 2001/37/EC [Internet]. Seç. Official Journal of the European Union 2014. Disponível em: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32014L0040>
34. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Belgium [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/belgium/laws>
35. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - France [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/france/laws>
36. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Finland [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/finland/laws>
37. Germany. Tobacco Ordinance of December 20, 1977 (Bundesgesetzblatt, BGBl. [Federal Law Gazette] I p. 2831), which was last amended by Article 1 of the Ordinance of December 22, 2014 (BGBl. I p. 2398) [Internet]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/files/live/Germany/Germany%20-%20Tobacco%20Decree%20.pdf>
38. Germany. TabakerzV - Verordnung über Tabakerzeugnisse und verwandte Erzeugnisse (Ordinance on Tobacco Products and Related Products (Tobacco Products Ordinance - TabakerzV) [Internet]. 2016. Disponível em: <https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/tabakerzv/BJNR098010016.html>
39. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Germany [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/germany/laws>
40. Welzijn en Sport Ministerie van Volksgezondheid. Besluit van 21 november 2022, houdende de wijziging van het Tabaks- en rookwarenbesluit in verband met de regulering van smaken voor e-sigaretten [Internet]. Staatsblad van het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden. Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid; 2022 [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://zoek.officielebekendmakingen.nl/stb-2022-463.html>
41. Welzijn en Sport Ministerie van Volksgezondheid. Regeling van de Staatssecretaris van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport van 6 maart 2024, kenmerk 3770816-1061446-WJZ, houdende wijziging van de Tabaks- en rookwarenregeling in verband met vaststelling van een lijst met voor tabaksproducten en elektronische dampwaar verboden additieven [Internet]. Ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken en

- Koninkrijksrelaties; mar 14, 2024. Disponível em:
<https://zoek.officielebekendmakingen.nl/stcrt-2024-7582.html>
42. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by country/jurisdiction - Lithuania [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/lithuania/laws>
 43. Iranian Students' News Agency. Iran: Paradise of Tobacco Industries/ Cancellation of the ban on the production of aromatic tobacco - ایران؛ بهشت صنایع دخانی / لغو- خبرگزاری ایسنا | صفحه (article in Farsi) [Internet]. ISNA. 2022 [citado 22 de setembro de 2023]. Disponível em: isna.ir/xdMsjh
 44. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction Kiribati [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 21 de março de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/files/live/Kiribati/Kiribati%20-%20TC%20Act%202013.pdf>
 45. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by country/jurisdiction - Mauritania [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/mauritania/laws>
 46. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Mauritius [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/mauritius/cigarette-contents>
 47. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by country/jurisdiction - Moldova [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/moldova/laws>
 48. Montenegro Ministry of Health. Zakon o ograničavanju upotrebe duvanskih proizvoda (46/2019 i 48/2019) [Internet]. 2019. Disponível em: <https://www.paragraf.me/propisi-crnegore/zakon-o-ogranicavanju-upotrebe-duvanskih-proizvoda.html>
 49. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction -Niger [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/niger/laws>
 50. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Nigeria [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/nigeria/laws>
 51. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by country/jurisdiction - Norway [Internet]. [citado 21 de março de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/norway/laws>
 52. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) – Philippines. FDA Advisory No.2022-1069 - ban on the distribution, importation, manufacturing, sale, offer for sale and online retail of flavored liquid refill solutions other than tobacco and menthol flavor for vapor products starting 25 may 2022 [Internet]. 2022 [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.fda.gov.ph/fda-advisory-no-2022-1069-ban->

on-the-distribution-importation-manufacturing-sale-offer-for-sale-and-online-retail-of-flavored-liquid-refill-solutions-other-than-tobacco-and-menthol-flavor-for-v/

53. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Senegal [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/senegal/laws>
54. Sierra Leone [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/sierra-leone/cigarette-contents>
55. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Sri Lanka [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/sri-lanka/laws>
56. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Turkey [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/turkey/laws>
57. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Ukraine [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 13 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/ukraine/cigarette-contents>
58. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - Uganda [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/uganda/laws>
59. United Kingdom. 2016 n. 507 - The Tobacco and Related Products Regulations [Internet]. 2016. Disponível em: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2016/507/pdfs/uksi_20160507_en.pdf
60. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Legislation by Country/ Jurisdiction - England [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de janeiro de 2024]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/england>
61. United States of America. Family Smoking Prevention And Tobacco Control Act 111 P.L. 31; 123 Stat. 1776; 2009 Enacted H.R. 1256; 111 Enacted H.R. 1256. Jun 22, 2009 [Internet]. Disponível em: <https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/rules-regulations-and-guidance/family-smoking-prevention-and-tobacco-control-act-table-contents>
62. Bach L, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. States & Localities with Flavored Tobacco Restrictions [Internet]. 2024 [citado 17 de março de 2022]. Disponível em: <https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/assets/factsheets/0398.pdf>
63. American Nonsmokers' Rights (ANR). Municipalities Prohibiting the Sale of Flavored Tobacco Products [Internet]. 2024. Disponível em: <https://no-smoke.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/flavored-tobacco-product-sales.pdf>
64. Public Health Law Center. U.S. sales restrictions on flavored tobacco products [Internet]. 2023 [citado 7 de julho de 2022]. Disponível em:

<https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/sites/default/files/resources/US-sales-restrictions-flavored-tobacco-products.pdf>

65. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Uruguay [Internet]. Tobacco Control Laws. [citado 24 de julho de 2024]. Disponível em:
<https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/uruguay/cigarette-contents>